

5  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 3RD DAY OF APRIL 1998

BEFORE :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.PATRI BASAVANA GOUD

WRIT PETITION No.30336/1997

Between :

The Assistant Executive  
Engineer, No.1 (Maintenance)  
Sub-Division, K.R.Sagar,  
Mandya District.

.. Petitioner

( By Sri.K.H.Jagadish, HCGA )

And :

1. Srinivas Murthy,  
Near Chandramouleswara Swamy  
Temple, Official Colony,  
K.R.Sagar, Mandya Dist.
2. The Asst.Labour Commissioner &  
Controlling Authority under  
the Payment of Gratuity Act  
1972, Mysore Division,  
Mysore.
3. Deputy Labour Commissioner  
Appellate Authority under the  
Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972  
Bangalore Region-II, Bangalore. .. Respondents

-.-.-

This Writ Petition is filed under Articles  
226 & 227 of the Constitution of India praying to  
quash the order vide Annex.B dated 18.6.97.

This Writ Petition is coming on for orders  
this day, the Court made the following :

h

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS 3RD DAY OF APRIL 1998

BEFORE :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. PATRI BASAVANA GOUD

WRIT PETITION NO. 30336/1997

Between :

1. The State of Karnataka  
2. The Government of Karnataka  
3. The Government of India  
4. The Government of Karnataka  
5. The Government of India

And :

And :

1. The State of Karnataka  
2. The Government of Karnataka  
3. The Government of India  
4. The Government of Karnataka  
5. The Government of India

1. The State of Karnataka  
2. The Government of Karnataka  
3. The Government of India  
4. The Government of Karnataka  
5. The Government of India

1. The State of Karnataka  
2. The Government of Karnataka  
3. The Government of India  
4. The Government of Karnataka  
5. The Government of India

1. The State of Karnataka  
2. The Government of Karnataka  
3. The Government of India  
4. The Government of Karnataka  
5. The Government of India

1. The State of Karnataka  
2. The Government of Karnataka  
3. The Government of India  
4. The Government of Karnataka  
5. The Government of India



**ORDER**

The petitioner-employer challenges the orders of the 2nd respondent-Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 and the 3rd respondent-Appellate Authority as at Annexures-'A' & 'B', by which the 1st respondent-employee is directed to be paid gratuity of Rs.31,677/-.

2. There is no dispute as to the 33 years of service that the 1st respondent has put in and as to the wages. Having regard to these two relevant factors, the authorities have <sup>rightly</sup> ~~accordingly~~ computed the gratuity payable to the 1st respondent and there is no infirmity in the two orders i.e. the order of the 2nd respondent confirmed by the 3rd respondent-Appellate Authority.

3. Writ Petition is, therefore, dismissed.

Sd/-  
JUDGE



ORDER

1. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
2. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
3. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
4. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
5. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.

6. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
7. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
8. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
9. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
10. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
11. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
12. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
13. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
14. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.  
15. The writ of habeas corpus is granted.

(G. PATRI BASAVANA GOUD)  
JUDGE.